

European Union - United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Her Britannic Majesty's Secretary of State requests and requires in the name of Her Majesty all those whom it may concern to allow the bearer to pass freely without let or hindrance, and to afford the bearer such assistance and protection as may be necessary.

During 2002, Judge James A. Doerty, Washington Superior Court and former Seattle British Consul David C. Broom conspired to increase the coercion of a British journalist (now 74) jailed without benefit of legal counsel by moving him to incommunicado solitary confinement among murderers and rapists in King County Jail, Seattle where prison guards tortured him.

Now, a cover-up allows a powerful political and criminal element in Seattle to harass the journalist whenever he enters the US and unlawful restrictions still apply to his movements in Seattle despite a Washington Supreme court finding in his favor. That unanimous finding by nine judges reversed Doerty's decisions made in consort with Seattle Jewish Mafia (SJM), straw judges, city officials, and British Consul. [*Straw-Man Stratagem*] [*Supreme Court Decision #1*] [*Supreme Court Decision #2*]

Seattle British Consulate claimed to represent UK government and British interests in Washington state and promised to provide services to British nationals. Requested several times to visit the journalist in jail by his friends and colleagues, Broom neglected to do so. Instead, he accepted at face value the perjured testimony that Doerty sent to him attached to a letter after two unlawful *ex parte* (in secret with bias) discussions. Doerty recorded those conversations and the documents that he sent to him in a letter and in his proceedings. [*JD-02-0306-0000*]

British Government appointed David C. Broom, Consul, British Consulate, Seattle during December 1999 and removed him during July 2004 prior to the consulate closing (30 Sep 05) when it transferred all documents to British Consulate-General, San Francisco. The generic term "consul" defines a diplomat or official representative of a government in one state who resides in the territory of another. Most nations maintain consulates in major foreign cities with the primary purpose of safeguarding their resident and traveling citizens while on foreign soil. A secondary duty relates to maintaining commercial interests.

Broom claimed in *Seattle Times*: "Britain and Washington state are major trading partners; as British consul general, I am vested in the state's recovery and continued competitiveness and economic success". In several other articles he also signed himself "Consul General"; however, Robin Newmann, Vice Consul Political, Press and Public Affairs, British Consulate-General, San Francisco refutes Broom's claim to that title.¹

Evidently, heads of British consulates classify as consuls not consuls general. The British government reserves the term "consul general" for heads of consulates-general and does not permit people of lower rank to use it. This misnomer represents only one of a series of

misrepresentations and lies propagated by Broom during his term in Seattle. A diplomatic source who worked with Broom during a previous foreign assignment verified repugnant, undiplomatic behavior by Broom.

During Broom's tenure, Judge James A. Doerty committed judicial misconduct, perverted the course of justice, and violated constitutional and human rights of a British subject, Doerty issued a series of contempt orders effectively to silence investigative reporting. He misapplied law by granting a restraining order which deprived the journalist of his constitutional rights to free speech and liberty then imprisoned him indefinitely. [*Cruel and Unusual Punishment*]

Although aware of the circumstances, Broom did nothing to relieve the situation. Instead, he consorted with the judge apparently to protect commercial interests allied to Seattle Jewish Mafia for whom Doerty acted as straw judge. Broom lied in writing about his *ex parte* involvement with Doerty and withheld official documents. Now, Consulate-General, San Francisco has admitted to destroying those records probably in an attempt to cover up Broom's dereliction. The linked correspondence supports that contention. Like any fox that hears the sound of barking, Broom has since gone to ground. [JD-02-0306-0000] [PT-04-0307-0427] [DB-04-0319-0000] [PT-04-0405-1428] [JD-04-0413-0000] [AG-07-0404-0000]

In its finding, Washington Supreme Court took into consideration *amici curiae* briefs by:

American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU - Seattle)
American Society of Authors and Editors (ASAE - New York)
International Federation of Journalists (IFJ- Brussels)
National Union of Journalists (NUJ - London)
Seattle Weekly (A local newspaper in Seattle, Washington)

[*Supreme Court Review*]

However, British Consulate-General (San Francisco) and Washington Superior Court continue to abrogate rights granted under the US Constitution and UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Violation of international accords by Doerty and Broom include:

United States Constitution

Amendment VI

In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the State and district wherein the crime shall have been committed, which district shall have been previously ascertained by law, and to be informed of the nature and cause of the accusation; to be confronted with the witnesses against him; to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.

Amendment XIV

All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside. No State shall make

or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

[Full Text with additional violations highlighted]

Universal Declaration of Human Rights

Article 11

(1) Everyone charged with a penal offence has the right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty according to law in a public trial at which he has had all the guarantees necessary for his defence.

(2) No one shall be held guilty of any penal offence on account of any act or omission which did not constitute a penal offence, under national or international law, at the time when it was committed. Nor shall a heavier penalty be imposed than the one that was applicable at the time the penal offence was committed.

Article 12

No one shall be subjected to arbitrary interference with his privacy, family, home or correspondence, nor to attacks upon his honour and reputation. Everyone has the right to the protection of the law against such interference or attacks.

[Full Text with additional violations highlighted]

Torture of Imprisoned Journalists - HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO)

FCO Freedom of Expression panel claims to raise issues at ministerial level and in high-level exchanges with other governments also to encourage EU to take action. However, it has taken the author almost six years to gain the panel's attention notwithstanding European media coverage in excess of 900 articles.

FCO has neglected to acknowledge that cruel and unusual punishment of a British citizen took place in violation of UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights in King County Jail, Seattle, Washington, USA despite repeated appraisal of that situation by National Union of Journalists and other interested parties. FCO has even ignored a unanimous Washington Supreme Court finding that reversed unlawful trial and appellate court decisions used to incarcerate this journalist.

The panel claims to prepare a list of imprisoned journalists using three criteria: overall severity of restrictions on freedom of the media: severity of the individual case; and, the effect inclusion on the list may have in resolving the issues. Several cases in US, and the author's case in particular, remain conspicuously absent from that list. *[List of Imprisoned Journalists]*

Although FCO has received reports on the severity of media restrictions and cruel and unusual punishment for publishing protected information, it has chosen to do absolutely nothing which

has allowed the abuse to continue. The statements on the FCO Human Rights - International Priorities web page belie the true situation and amount to nothing more than government propaganda and hypocrisy. The panel claims to support Article 19, Universal Declaration of Human Rights yet blatantly ignores it for political expedience. [*FCO Human Rights*]

Jack Straw, former Foreign Secretary launched a new FCO Strategy (March 2006) and hypocritically trumpeted:

At the heart of any foreign policy must lie a set of fundamental values. For this Government, the values that we promote abroad are those that guide our actions at home. We seek a world in which freedom, justice and opportunity thrive, in which governments are accountable to the people, protect their rights and guarantee their security and basic needs. We do so because these are the values we believe to be right. And because such a world is the best guarantee of the security and prosperity of the people of the United Kingdom.

Torture is one of the most abhorrent violations of human rights and human dignity. Article 5 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights states that "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment". Yet torture continues to be inflicted on men, women and children [especially journalists] around the world. [*Full Text - FCO Torture*]

*Legal Immunity - Power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely.*²

In international law, official representatives of foreign governments have diplomatic immunity from liability in criminal and civil actions in the countries in which they serve. Similarly, judges in Washington state have judicial immunity intended to encourage them to act in a fair and just manner without considering extrinsic evidence. Granting these privileges presumes that individuals will act with integrity and within laws that they swear to uphold.

Both Broom and Doerty held immunity which they jointly and severally abused. That abuse will eventually become a major issue for independent investigators and adjudication by UK Parliament and Washington Commission on Judicial Conduct (CJC) respectively. Decisions by those bodies carry appellate rights to UK and EU parliaments and US Supreme Court.

Diplomatic Immunity. A form of legal immunity that results from agreement among governments which insures that diplomats receive safe passage and remain immune to prosecution under host country laws except for expulsion. The practice has a long history founded in international law.³

Judicial Immunity. Defendants in criminal cases may neither bring libel suits for statements made about them during a trial or tort actions for official misconduct nor may they sue a judge's clerk for negligence. Judicial immunity has many critics because some judges have committed grievous abuses against defendants during trials. Historically, judicial immunity associated with English common law and the notion that "the King can do no wrong".⁴

These privileges presuppose the individuals who enjoy them have an ethical foundation, a knowledge of law, and concern for the human condition - all conspicuously absent in this case.

Due process of law calls for absolute adherence by judges to ethical codes and to restrict themselves to interpret law, not legislate it. A reading of court documents filed by Doerty shows absolute ignorance of law and arbitrary decisions blatantly published for political expedience, mostly aided and abetted by Broom's dereliction.

Defendants can recover monetary damages from a judge for intentional tort if proved that he acted *ultra vires* - beyond the scope or in excess of his legal power or authority which appears to apply in this case. That equitable relief would require a ruling by another judge to establish constitutionality, or lack of it, which to a reasonable person constitutes a slam dunk because WA Supreme Court has already reversed Doerty's decisions.

CJC has jurisdiction over justices of the supreme court, judges of the court of appeals, superior courts, judges pro tempore, court commissioners and magistrates. This includes full-time, part-time, attorney and non-attorney judges. Its mandate requires it to investigate and act upon complaints of judicial misconduct or disability. The only basis for finding misconduct is a violation of the Code of Judicial Conduct adopted by Washington Supreme Court. CJC may impose admonishment, reprimand and censure after which it may recommend suspension or removal of a judge.

Broom must now explain to HM Parliament why, after receiving several requests from a variety of people to visit the jail, he instead met with Doerty *ex parte*. By that he allegedly committed gross misconduct and misuse of diplomatic immunity. He effectively assisted incommunicado solitary confinement and torture of a British subject through dereliction of his duty "to afford the bearer [of a British passport] such assistance and protection as may be necessary".

Death Threats and Violent Assault

Since his release from jail after 111 days (under a writ similar to *habeas corpus*), the journalist has received repeated death threats and must remain in hiding while in Seattle. Prior to incarceration, SJM thugs assaulted him five times and Seattle Police Department ignored official reports of that violence.

Thomas A. Carr, City of Seattle Attorney repeatedly ignored complaints of elder abuse and reports of at least three cases of alleged homicide by abuse. In retaliation, and in a further attempt to stop the journalist reporting malfeasance, Carr issued six trumped-up criminal charges and arrest warrants. Seattle Municipal Court dismissed all those charges.

Broom had a duty to visit the journalist in jail; instead, he exacerbated an already outrageous situation. He must now account not only for lying and destroying records but also for his collusion with Doerty.

Parliament must order an independent inquiry of the alleged consular/judicial collusion and address the resultant incommunicado solitary confinement and torture of a British subject which

has already been found unlawful by unanimous decision of nine judges in Washington Supreme Court. Otherwise, the issues must go to EU Parliament for resolution.

To mitigate damage, HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) must instruct its embassy in Washington DC to work with US authorities to restore constitutional and human rights by removing the perjured and defamatory documents from his record. US Department of Homeland Security must allow the journalist to pass freely without let or hindrance (without anything hindering or preventing action or progress) and the UK Embassy must afford him necessary assistance and protection.

International Contrast and Comparison

Gareth Thomas MP, West Harrow has received frequent reports about the dangerous situation in Seattle yet apparently does not appreciate the implications. He took four years to arrange a meeting at which he commented on the bizarreness of the circumstances; however, he has done virtually nothing else to resolve ongoing physical restrictions and harassment. Instead, Thomas has procrastinated by sending repetitive correspondence which delays addressing the issues. He must take immediate action directly with FCO to resolve all matters without further delay and launch an independent investigation of consular dereliction during the past six years.

Thomas's constituent, a British journalist born in London, suffered cruel and unusual punishment in King County Jail, Seattle without trial or legal representation. Washington Supreme Court later exonerated him of wrongdoing in a unanimous decision by nine judges. He has for six years suffered physical assaults and death threats while HM Government and its Consulate-General continue to ignore the plight of this law-abiding UK citizen. [*Cruel and Unusual Punishment*]

FCO apparently has its priorities confused in its rush to pander to US political needs and a Zionist mafia in Seattle. It continues to go to extreme lengths to repatriate allegedly dangerous foreign nationals (suspected terrorists) from Guantanamo Bay who previously resided in the UK yet ignores the plight of some of its own citizens and prefers others.

Parliament and BBC mounted a tremendous effort and achieved a politically rewarding release for Alan Johnston (45) a BBC reporter. Although entirely in concurrence with those efforts, this journalist reiterates that he spent an equivalent amount of time (111 days as opposed to Johnston's 114 days) in jail without charge or legal representation under similar conditions but absent representation by British Embassy officials. The facts in both cases show a contrast in support afforded British subjects by different embassies - overwhelming use of resources in some cases and dereliction in others. This disclosure does not in any way belittle Johnston's courage and fortitude while incarcerated; instead, it emphasizes FCO dereliction. Comparisons by their very nature have odious aspects.

A comparison of experiences shows that while incarcerated, Johnston, thirty years this writer's junior, had a radio, did not experience incommunicado treatment, did not lie 23 hours each day on a cold concrete slab, did not spend time in a straight jacket, did not languish in a freezing cage without water, did not receive harmful injections, did not suffer a heart attack, did not experience exposure to chronic diseases, did not lose his home, his dog, or all his possessions. [*Metamorphosis*]

Neither did Johnston suffer exposure to a KCJ medical death threat. Thirteen King County Jail (KJC) inmates died of suicide, natural, or undetermined causes May 2003 through July 2005 which emphasizes the risk of death. A custody officer claimed that the jail did not count deaths of inmates who die in hospitals. A statistical chart for 1999 through 2005 shows that 20 inmates died either in KJC custody or at a hospital. On the day a journalist visited, 44 inmates were on suicide watch.

King County never substantially investigated two deaths caused by disease combination and inmate relatives blamed the jail authorities for negligence. David Irons, a King County Council member and six-year member of the county board of health, called it "a new public health threat" for those inside and outside the facility - obvious to inmates who use toilet paper to open doors to avoid contracting diseases from the knobs.

Two superbugs, necrotizing fasciitis better known as flesh-eating disease and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* a bacterial infection commonly called MRSA, combine to form a deadly disease. MRSA has sickened hundreds of King County jail staff and inmates in recent years.

As was the case in the death of the inmate, MRSA and the flesh-eating disease work together. Fourteen recent cases of necrotizing fasciitis were traced to MRSA bacteria pairing according to a study reported in *New England Journal of Medicine*. National Necrotizing Fasciitis Foundation emphasizes it only takes a pinprick to transmit these diseases and inmates can carry the bug outside the jail.

The spread of MRSA, in particular, has some officials alarmed. Irons reported that: "An untreated infection of MRSA which can cause swelling, boils, blisters, fever, pneumonia, bloodstream infections, and eventually loss of limbs and even death" has plagued the jail for several years.⁵

Fortunately, Johnston had the support of NUJ, BBC and HM Government whereas this freelance journalist with very few resources except his web pages continues to suffer degrading and unlawful actions in US and remains under constant death threat when in Seattle. HM Government has done nothing to mitigate the situation despite repeated requests by NUJ.

Jeremy Dear, General Secretary, National Union of Journalists (NUJ) recently raised awareness about the case of the Miami Five. He spoke to more than 100 protestors outside US Embassy, London to show NUJ support. Five Cubans have spent ten years imprisoned in a US jail guilty

of nothing more than trying to prevent attacks on their homeland that originate in Miami. They infiltrated a string of Miami terrorist organizations who had bombed Cuban hotels. FBI, instead of arresting those responsible for the attacks, arrested the Cubans then held a trial that UN has since criticized as arbitrary. They still languish in a US jail.⁶

Prior to the World Trade Center disaster, Seattle FBI acted in a similar way. It allowed SJM to use a report of Islamic extremist activity in a Jewish multistorey apartment complex in Seattle as "evidence" of harassment. After the disaster, FBI and SJM had second thoughts. They fired the extremist (allowing him to take with him the building master keys) then closed a terrorist cell in a local mosque and a training camp in Oregon. SJM persisted in citing the article as harassment. It had the journalist jailed despite his public service by warning FBI about a terrorist cell which fulfilled a journalism responsibility to hold innocent people harmless. [*Tall Structure Terror*]

Stephen A. Mitchell, the building manager, repeatedly announced his intention to jail the journalist for reporting that terrorist risk and racism. He tried three times to have the journalist arrested when he reported another Council House trial (*Lambert v. Harris*). He later complained: "I have spent \$10,000.00 on attorney fees and I still cannot get the bastard into jail". [*Trials of Leon Harris*]

Elder Abuse

Past and present Council House officers and directors condoned elder abuse: the neglect and exploitation of people over sixty.

[Names and Addresses of Officers and Directors at Council House, Seattle]

Many of their tenants will not report abuse to government agencies in fear of retaliation. Directors rely upon dereliction by oversight agencies. Managers collude with government officials to evade their mutual responsibility to comply with the law. Administrators, directors, and elite tenants profile as cruel and inhuman - they have violated laws and allegedly committed homicide by abuse.

Alleged Offenses

Incarcerated tenants without due process or probable cause. Practiced coercion, bribery, blackmail, threatening behavior, subornation, perjury and at least two cases of homicide by abuse. Abused elderly tenants including humiliation, ostracism, unlawful eviction, and harassment based upon manufactured evidence that they violated their leases and fictional acts of trespass. Implemented search and seizure of tenants' property and unlawfully entered their apartments. Bribed a network of informers to file false reports with police and agencies. Filed false reports with government agencies about tenants and disseminated libelous propaganda to their families and friends. Published multiple libel in public media and made false statements to reporters to cover up crimes. Tried to persuade an internet service provider (ISP) to remove a tenant's web site from the Internet. Filed perjury to cause prior restraint and removal of a web site by falsely claiming that the publisher was violent and insane. Lied pathologically and choreographed surreal scenarios. Tampered with court witnesses and practiced racial and religious intolerance. Allowed and actively encouraged Kapos (thugs) to use deliberate assault or battery on tenants.

Religious fervor, especially with charismatic individuals, has constituted one of history's most-practiced methods to usurp power and wealth and to persuade normally rational bureaucrats and judiciary to act irrationally. Mitchell, a charismatic sociopath, frivolously applied to the court for commitment of the journalist to a mental institution as he had done successfully with several other people: a proposal echoed by Lynn C. Wartnik, Council House Co-President in sworn testimony. This showed a pattern or practice of portraying elderly people as incompetent then having them committed using Mitchell's falsified mental health credentials. Judge Doerty exhibits a similar pathology. [*Ravering Sociopath*] [*University of Oregon Sting*]

Three-Factor Model of Psychopathy ⁷		
<i>Arrogant/Deceitful</i>	<i>Deficient Affective Experience</i>	<i>Impulsive/Irresponsible</i>
Glibness/superficial charm	Lack of remorse or guilt	Need for stimulation/ boredom
Egocentricity/Grandiose self-worth	Callous/Lack of empathy	Parasitic lifestyle
Pathological lying	Shallow affect	Lack of realistic, long-term goals
Cunning/Manipulative	No responsibility for own actions	Impulsiveness/Irresponsibility

Tarik Ramadan, an Iraqi translator for British journalist Gary Trotter, served 32 months in illegal detention in Northern Iraq for his work as a “fixer”. When he left work at Kirkuk Airbase, US troops arrested him. They neither charged him with any offense nor granted him a trial of any kind and he had no access to legal counsel. NUJ/LFB members provided informal support as a result of Trotter’s campaign to free Ramadan. This created a precedent that forced HM Government to agree to grant asylum to Iraqi translators who put themselves at risk by assisting British journalists: another instance which required a boot to get the British Embassy and FCO off their collective asses to meet their responsibilities.⁸

In another bizarre case, a Sudanese court sentenced Gillian Gibbons (54) to 15 days in jail and deportation for insulting Islam. She had allowed her pupils to name a teddy bear after Islam's prophet Muhammad. The designation was part of a class project for her 7-year-old students at a private school in Sudan. British Embassy, Khartoum went to extraordinary lengths to free Gibbons and two British peers traveled to Sudan to meet with the Sudanese president in a successful attempt to have her released. Demonstrators had called for her execution for allegedly insulting the prophet. Concern for Gibbons's safety was intensified after thousands of Sudanese, many armed with clubs and swords, beat drums and burned pictures of her during a rally in Khartoum the nation’s capital.

David Miliband, British Foreign Secretary immediately took time out to write:

No one believes she [Gibbons] set out to insult anyone; everyone wants to see common sense prevail. . . . I have asked to see the Sudanese Ambassador to London as a matter of

urgency to discuss the case. Consular contact has been maintained but obviously we want to see the case sorted out as soon as possible.

Miliband spoke for Gibbons in direct contrast to FCO dereliction. FCO and HM Consul have deliberately ignored the Seattle case for five years while Miliband and his predecessor Jack Straw have done nothing to assuage that British journalist's ordeal.

Dereliction - HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO)

National Union of Journalists (NUJ), especially Jeremy Dear, General Secretary and Philip Sutcliffe (then chair London Freelance Branch (LFB) now a member of the National Executive Council (NEC)) interceded. They made pleas to Jack Straw, formerly Foreign Secretary; Donald G. Cohan, Council House attorney and director; and Judge James A. Doerty a straw judge instructed by Judge Anthony P. Wartnik. Cohan responded disingenuously. Straw never replied and Doerty declared press credentials bogus a second time despite validation.

Cohan and Sternberg (both lawyers) acted as prime movers with Wartnik to suborn witnesses in a railroading conspiracy. Sternberg and Wartnik choreographed the plot to protect their spouses Sheila Sternberg and Lynn C. Wartnik (both Council House Co-presidents) from possible prosecution for homicide by abuse and other crimes against elderly or vulnerable people also embezzlement of federal and state funds. To that end, they falsely proclaimed the journalist's press credentials bogus then redefined journalistic investigation as harassment by a journalist.

Sternberg checked the validity of the press credentials with NUJ. Contrary to professional practice, he did not declare his interest as a lawyer. Neither did he disclose that he intended to file retaliatory harassment complaints against an NUJ member for interviewing willing sources to verify information while conducting an investigation of his wife.

The following email correspondence took place (07/08 Nov 00):

NUJ to PT. The NUJ has received an email from a Mr Craig Sternberg enquiring as to whether you are a member of the Union.

PT to NUJ. Craig S. Sternberg, a Seattle bankruptcy lawyer, acts for the target of one of my current investigations. He has recently spent time fishing for information in attempts to discredit me and my findings. Therefore, please treat him as adversarial. Confirm only that I have belonged to NUJ since the 1950s and that my membership remains current and in good standing. Provide no other information.

NUJ to CS. Further to your email regarding Paul Trummel, I can confirm Paul Trummel is a member of the NUJ and his membership is in good standing.

Having checked the credentials with NUJ and received an affirmative response from the membership secretary, the directors informed the court that the press card had no validity. Doerty declared the card "bogus" and the journalist a "poseur". He would not allow the journalist to file

his UK and International press cards with the court as evidence that he held *bona fide* qualifications as a freelance journalist.

Later, Cohan and Mitchell arranged with Judge Wartnik for a transfer to solitary confinement with 23-hour lockdown and attempted to indict the journalist for stalking old ladies while walking their dogs (while in solitary confinement!). Stalking by non-citizens ranks as a federal offense punishable by two years in federal penitentiary and deportation (23 May 02).

After the journalist's release from jail, a source reported that Cohan publicly announced his part in the conspiracy to kill the messenger by calling out loudly to Mitchell in a parking lot: "Have you got that bastard deported yet?" Apparently, Mitchell responded: "Not yet but we're working on it." (02 Sep 02)

Mitchell had previously trumped up and filed federal charges about stalking old women walking their dogs which Doerty entered into evidence using perjured testimony suborned by Mitchell. Those suborned declarations form the basis for continuing federal harassment five years later. Moreover, FCO dereliction has allowed a new problem to arise with US Department of Homeland Security in Seattle.

During interrogation of the journalist upon entering the US (16 Nov 07), the officer mentioned a new complaint (in addition to those unlawfully recorded by Doerty to effect deportation) filed by a "Michelle Stefan" - one of the aliases used by Stephen A. Mitchell. Unlike officers previously encountered, this one had a polite and helpful demeanor; however, he would not reveal details of the complaint. When asked if the new complaint related to previous issues the officer silently acknowledged the implication.

Doerty warned that stalking classified as a federal offense carrying punishment of two years in a federal penitentiary and deportation then placed perjured documents on federal files to effect federal action. Consulate-General San Francisco has now admitted destroying those records. HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office and its US Embassy remain fully cognizant of the circumstances but continue to do nothing to mitigate them.

SJM has apparently revived its previous attempts to have the journalist returned to jail or killed. Mitchell publicly boasted that he can have him incarcerated at any time. Similarly, he has used trumped-up criminal charges with impunity against dozens of people who testified for the journalist. Mitchell previously filed criminal charges against the journalist for slashing tires and a plethora of other ludicrous complaints including murder of a vulnerable woman whom Mitchell and Audrey F. Dunbar had harassed so much that she committed suicide. City of Seattle Attorney Thomas A. Carr and Seattle Police Department ignore all complaints against Council House and act upon SJM wishes without question or probable cause.

[*Kill the Messenger*] [*Last Cruel Days. Homicide?*] [*Who Killed Jackie Nations*]

Confederacy - UK and US

Because UK is an "ally" of the US does not mean that US officials and judges do not behave just as viciously to their own citizens and legal residents as they do to Palestinians and Iraqis when Zionist values engender scrutiny or reportage. The US indulges in just as much terrorism within its own territory as it does abroad despite repeated presidential incantations of the word "democracy". "Land of the free" translates as "land of hypocrites" for both the US and UK governments in this case. HM government must realize that it supports terrorism against its own citizens within the US. It must by law complain to US authorities when British subjects cannot pass "without let or hindrance".

The departure of Tony Blair and Alastair Campbell has not reduced constant propaganda and government self-interest to the detriment of UK citizens, especially journalists traveling abroad. It has become increasingly more evident that the Labour government fails to support members of trade unions to which the Labour Party owes its very existence despite financial support derived from political levies for more than a century.

UK Labour party has apparently forgotten that it originated in 1900 when a Trades Union Congress called for a conference to consider the problem of securing adequate parliamentary representation for labor. The conference, held in 1906, created the Labour Representation Committee led by Ramsay MacDonald. Times have certainly changed when a Labour government just takes the money and runs and leaves trade union journalists and others stranded without recourse.

Entreaties - National Union of Journalists (NUJ)

Philip Sutcliffe, chair of a LFB/NUJ committee formed to investigate the problem, sent an email message to Cohan asking for clemency. He wrote: "Whatever the rights and wrongs of the argument, we would suggest that practically, the matter will not be resolved while he is in jail - quite simply, the website cannot be changed while [he] is confined. While there may not be an easy or immediate resolution of the issue between Council House and [him], it cannot be resolved unless there is a resumption of due process and/or negotiation between you". Meanwhile, Seattle British Consul continued to do nothing except communicate *ex parte* with Doerty to the journalist's detriment.

To support Cohan and Mitchell also to protect his wife from exposure, Wartnik (through his straw Doerty) had kept the journalist in jail to coerce him to remove a web site which exposed a plethora of crimes by Mitchell, Wartnik's wife Lynn, and her board of directors. The journalist argued that to remove the web site, which contained constitutionally protected speech, on those grounds would create a precedent that affected all journalists, media, and web publishers. [*Contra Cabal Archive*]

Cohan responded to Sutcliffe disingenuously and pejoratively:

I am a past president of Council House and have been an attorney for over forty years. Please review the court records regarding Mr. Trummel's case.

You will find that he is not imprisoned for exercising his rights of free speech. He was held in contempt of court for repeatedly disobeying direct orders of the court. I understand that he has a record of continued evictions and court problems arising out of his failure to obey valid court orders. The problem he has is a direct result of his actions regarding court orders rather than issues of free speech.

Council House sought court relief from Mr. Trummel's actions in abusing and harassing other residents of Council House, many of whom are quite elderly and frail. Thereafter, the court ordered him to stop harassing residents, staff, and board members. Mr. Trummel has failed to follow legal court orders and the court held him in contempt for those failures. In fact, he continues to violate the court's orders while he is in jail. The problems which he has are caused by him, not by Council House, the court, or anyone else.

I suggest you examine the court records. After you have done that, I believe you will see that the court has acted correctly and the problems have been caused by Mr. Trummel. I also suggest that after you review the records you speak to Mr. Mitchell, the administrator of Council House, who will provide you with accurate information. I have been advised that this matter was investigated by the American journalist's union which determined that Mr. Trummel misrepresented the facts and they are not supporting his claim of alleged First Amendment violations.

I would appreciate it if you would remove my name from your e-mail list and do not send me anything else.

Washington Supreme Court unanimously reversed almost all of the trial court decisions that Cohan supported and which he had a hand in orchestrating through use of suborned testimony.

Sutcliffe also wrote to Judge James A. Doerty on behalf of the branch committee and 3000 members of London Freelance Branch of the National Union of Journalists (UK and Ireland), which caused another inane response from Doerty in his finding. Sutcliffe wrote:

London Freelance Branch, National Union of Journalists (UK and Ireland)

I write to you on behalf of the 3000 members of this union branch (local), all of them freelance journalists.

With respect, we would ask you to reconsider the continued incarceration (currently in solitary confinement, we understand) for contempt of court of Paul Trummel, a member in good standing of both our branch and our union for many years.

In particular, we are concerned by reports that one of your grounds for ordering him to remove the contentious material about Council House from his website is that he is not a legitimate investigative reporter because, currently, he edits and publishes his own work.

If that is your position we would strongly dispute it. Of our union's 28,000 members, some 6000 are freelances (ie self-employed). We have never heard of their standing as journalists being challenged in any jurisdiction until the cases of Vanessa Leggett and Paul Trummel

in the USA. We also note, however, that in a recent Massachusetts case where the same issue arose, a Federal judge ruled that community reporter Patricia Demarest did indeed have the same rights as those employed by news outlets.

We would argue that it is untenable to suggest that employment status can have any bearing on either the individual right of free speech or the general right of freedom of the press, whether under the UK's unwritten constitution, America's First Amendment or any democratic legal system. Indeed, the existence in our countries of a body of independent journalists not dependent on any one client corporation to make their living and, under the law, owning copyright and moral rights in their work is a substantial practical benefit to freedom of expression.

Further, why should Council House or any other body be afforded special protection from Mr Trummel's freedom to convey information in writing in any medium, as a journalist and/or as an individual? Surely, the libel laws are there to protect their right not to be publicly defamed, if that is what is happening to them. It seems grotesque that these circumstances should have resulted in a journalist, a citizen, an old man being detained in solitary. Is this not cruel and unusual punishment?

Given that the present situation is doing nothing but damage to all parties, we hope you will consider all ways to break the deadlock and resolve this matter. Surely, the process can only begin with Mr Trummel's release from jail.

Phil Sutcliffe, chair LFB, on behalf of the branch committee and 3000 members of London Freelance Branch of the National Union of Journalists (UK and Ireland).

Brad Meryhew (now with the firm of Rhodes Meryhew - Seattle) then filed a successful petition similar to *habeas corpus*. [*Rhodes Meryhew - Seattle*]

At a hearing for the journalist's conditional release Doerty wrote a defamatory opinion *before* the hearing. He used *ad hominem* and ordered more prior restraint. He then published the finding on the web using King County servers - an unprecedented act of judicial hubris and defiance. The chief judge has since relegated him to juvenile court.

Despite Sutcliffe's admonition Doerty's finding contained this outrageous excerpt:

. . . [The journalist] asserted that his activities at Council House did have a legitimate and lawful purpose because he has a press card and he was conducting an investigation . . . His alleged press credentials have never been admitted into evidence [Doerty refused to admit them].

The Court held that [the journalist's] claim to be a *bona fide* representative of the press was bogus. That does not mean that freelance journalists are not protected by the press clause of the First Amendment but that [this journalist's] activities are not protected by his alleged press card.

It is a discussion that is neither here nor there. Even if [the journalist] was a salaried employee of a world class newspaper and the recipient of a Pulitzer Prize, he would not be able to behave the way he behaved at Council House. Nobody has license to behave that way, but apparently anybody can get a press card; whoever gave [the journalist] his should be consulting their lawyers and their insurance carriers.

Mitchell made public statements about having immunity from prosecution as part of a campaign to intimidate elderly people, especially anyone who spoke or wrote about his transgression. He classifies as a ravening sociopath and acts as a goy straw for his directors. While the attention focuses upon Mitchell, SJM can misappropriate millions of dollars of government funds with impunity. In the process, they deprive impoverished elderly people and minorities of their civil and human rights, especially when judges like Doerty and Hayden sit on the bench. [*Ravening Sociopath*]

Procrastination - British Consulate-General and HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO)

Four years ago, Jeremy Dear, General Secretary, National Union of Journalists (NUJ) contacted former foreign secretary Jack Straw. Concurrently, the journalist contacted Straw and Gareth Thomas MP, West Harrow, in whose constituency he has a residence. Both Dear and the journalist requested an explanation of Broom's neglect to respond with assistance and protection guaranteed to all British subjects by HM Secretary of State. They also asked for removal of unconstitutional restrictions still in place in Seattle. See linked correspondence.

[*JD-02-0306-0000*] [*PT-04-0307-0427*] [*DB-04-0319-0000*] [*PT-04-0405-1428*] [*JD-04-0413-0000*] [*AG-07-0404-0000*]

Neither Straw nor Thomas has addressed the issues. Thomas held an inconclusive meeting at House of Commons (25 Jan 07) with NUJ executives: John Toner, Freelance Organizer; Philip Sutcliffe, Member, National Executive Council, and the journalist. Thomas declared the issues "bizarre" but has since done nothing to convene an independent inquiry and has not succeeded in obtaining records and public information necessary to further mount an inquiry.

Thomas, who attended the launch of a partnership project at the NUJ headquarters in London (2005), said: "Journalists have a crucial role to play in the battle for development" as he launched a project to promote greater awareness of global poverty and encourage good journalism around the world. Yet, somewhat hypocritically, and knowing the danger and urgency of the present situation which has widespread journalism implications, he took seven months to respond thus:

Following our meeting earlier this year, I agreed to write to the Foreign and Commonwealth Office with a request for information relating to your case. From the eventual reply which I received (a copy of which I passed on to you), it seems that this enquiry has reached a dead end. . . .

After four years lack of response from Thomas (apart from one meeting and one letter) he has done absolutely nothing about the ongoing situation. The FCO statement classifies as oxymoronic:

I can confirm that the FCO does not hold any relevant material to which [the journalist] is entitled under Section 7 of the DPA. Our office in Seattle is closed. Our search for any relevant information therefore included our Consulate-General in San Francisco, to which Seattle's files were transferred on its closure. It would seem that any consular files about [the journalist] have since been destroyed in line with our retention policy.

Thomas ignored the important aspect of the request:

I now ask that you initiate a full and independent inquiry into collusion between former Seattle British Consul, David Broom and Washington Superior Court Judge, James A. Doerty, which enabled Doerty to send me to incommunicado solitary confinement where I was tortured without trial or legal representation required under US law. Washington Supreme Court, by a unanimous decision of nine judges, later reversed trial (Doerty) and appellate (Becker) decisions related to the destruction and censoring of web sites, jailing, and incommunicado solitary confinement.

Remedial Action

FCO must take remedial action and mitigate the damage that Doerty and Broom caused, jointly and severally, which has resulted in international and US domestic travel being subjected to unlawful restriction for more than five years. Doerty knowingly placed suborned documents which contain false and misleading information on international travel records which provoke homeland security officers repeatedly to delay and harass the journalist. Documents which could indict both Doerty and Broom have disappeared despite Doerty's claim in writing that they classify as public records:

Letter from Judge James A. Doerty to British Consul David Broom (06 Mar 02)

Enclosed are copies of some pertinent documents from the case that has been before this court. Those and all other papers are available in the clerk's file which is a public record.

Letter from British Consul David Broom to the Journalist (19 Mar 04)

Thank you for your letter of 7 March. During the period in question, there were several exchanges between staff at the Consulate and Judge Doerty's Chambers. However, these were all verbal in nature and no written document of the type you request exists on this subject.

Broom's statement ranks as an obvious lie when one studies various documents obtained from other sources. A reasonable person must ask how Broom could have known to contact Doerty if he had not received telephone calls for help from Rosalie A. Gillman (which she later confirmed with her letter) and other people. Broom contacted Doerty who dissuaded him from visiting the journalist in jail. If Broom had visited the journalist, then he would have learned the truth about Doerty and how he framed the journalist then manipulated the consulate. [Gillman]

If Broom has "no written documents", then what happened to his notes on the verbal communication, the Gillman letter, the letter from Doerty with its attachments, and other correspondence that SF admits destroying? Moreover, Doerty sent the letter and attachments to US Department of Homeland Security as a malicious and vindictive act not supported by any known legal precedent that Broom should have immediately challenged.

Machination - British Consulate-General, San Francisco (SF)

Julian Evans, British Consul General, San Francisco, must now assume responsibility as principal on a variety of outstanding issues. He has inherited the affairs of the now defunct Seattle office and remains ultimately responsible for Broom's misuse of diplomatic immunity and neglect to provide assistance and protection.

Seattle Consul received a request for documents immediately upon the release of the journalist from jail (17 Jun 02) more than three years before the Seattle office closed and transferred documents to San Francisco (30 Sep 05). SF and Washington Superior Court have since destroyed or withheld documents allegedly to cover up abuse of diplomatic and judicial immunity.

SF admits that Seattle transferred the documents to San Francisco then destroyed them which shows deliberation. Broom previously claimed in writing that the documents that SF claims to have destroyed never existed while SF claims that they destroyed them under an "Alice in Wonderland" unretention policy.

Destroying documents knowing that an open request for them exists and removal of the same documents from court records in Seattle supports the allegation of collusion and a cover-up by Broom and Doerty (also Chief Administrative Officer Paul Sherfey). However, Evans can access verified and validated excerpts on the web taken from copies of documents obtained from a variety of other sources. For example, a letter sent by Gillman contains this request which Broom totally ignored:

The letter to you from Judge James A. Doerty (JAD-02-0306) and its enclosures contained disinformation. Please read the enclosed news releases then send a representative to interview Paul Trummel in the North Rehabilitation Facility regarding his unlawful incarceration. [Full Text- Rosalie A. Gillman]

Broom neither responded to the letter (which followed up a telephone call made six weeks earlier) nor acted upon it. Instead, he collaborated with Doerty by effectively supporting his outrageous and illegal decisions. As a direct result, Doerty sent the journalist to solitary confinement confident that Seattle British Consul would not intervene.

Connivance - British Consulate with Washington Superior Court

The journalist brought harassment charges against Council House, Seattle. In retaliation, Lynn C. Wartnik, Co-president, Council House and her husband Superior Court Judge Anthony P. Wartnik took part in a campaign to suborn elderly people in a bizarre attempt to use "psychotic transference" of elder abuse and other crimes committed by Lynn and Mitchell onto the journalist who reported them to authorities. Lynn Wartnik remains ultimately responsible with others for at least three cases of alleged homicide by abuse, 43 suborned declarations, and tampering with more than 20 witnesses in addition to massive misappropriation of state and federal funds - not bad for a judge's wife.

Homicide by Abuse at Council House

Homicide by abuse defines as extreme indifference to human life that causes death of a vulnerable adult through a pattern or practice of neglect.

Stephen A. Mitchell and his team of thugs have terrorized dozens of elderly people for more than five years. Three deaths from neglect and abuse have occurred for which Lynn C. Wartnik, Mitchell, and his assistant Audrey F. Dunbar must hold ultimate responsibility.

Homicide ranks as a class A felony punishable by a maximum sentence of life imprisonment in a state correctional institution or by a fine of fifty thousand dollars or both.

Neglect means a pattern of conduct or inaction by a person or entity with a duty of care that fails to provide the goods and services that maintain physical or mental health.

Thomas A. Carr, City Attorney, City of Seattle, and other government officials have since covered up the deaths which effectively makes them accessories after the fact.

Judge Wartnik switched judges so that straw Judge Doerty presided at the first hearing and retained jurisdiction. Doerty placed an unlawful prior restraint on reporting and publication of a web site in Holland and denied an appeal. He then jailed the journalist indeterminately without trial or benefit of counsel on contempt of court for reporting crimes allegedly committed at Council House.

Doerty then entered the suborned perjury and politically motivated propaganda into the court record and sent a letter to Broom with those declarations as attachments. Doerty also sent copies of the letter which he addressed to "Her Majesty's Consul" to US Department of Homeland Security in an unlawful attempt to effect deportation. Both San Francisco Consulate-General and Washington Superior Court have removed those documents from the record; however, sources have provided copies to *Contra Cabal*.

Seattle Jewish Mafia

This powerful political and criminal element in Seattle has tentacles that reach into all walks of life and has a complete disregard for law. It has a long record of abusing elderly people and defrauding the federal government of millions of dollars. When the journalist first reported the unlawful activity both in the media and to government officials, this mob retaliated. [*Contra Cabal*]

Michael C. Hayden, using Doerty's unlawful findings since reversed, deliberately made the journalist homeless by unlawful eviction while he languished incommunicado in solitary confinement in ultra-security section at King County jail although the rent was paid and accepted for fourteen months throughout his unlawful eviction and incarceration. Collusion and arbitrary decisions among judges Doerty, Hayden, and Wartnik effectively sanctioned by Broom, resulted in the loss of the journalist's home, all his possessions, major damage to his health through torture, and euthanasia of his dog.

SJM wants this journalist back in jail to silence him. FCO does not seem to appreciate that they want him dead. SJM thugs have already physically assaulted him five times in court rooms and

other public places while police, judges, and court officials ignored the violence. These incidents, a matter of public record, show a pattern or practice of similar behavior with other people who have stood up for freedom of expression.

SJM treats Seattle Police Department as its private Gestapo and SPD acquiesces to unlawful demands. SJM which (includes several straw judges and a string of lawyers) has now gone underground. It has removed all telephone numbers and email addresses from public view. The directors try to remain completely anonymous.

Although non-profit companies must disclose the names of officers and directors, there is nothing on Washington state records except for Stephen A. Mitchell's name as registered agent. British Consulate-General, San Francisco and FCO continue to ignore the situation and cover up the previous collusion by former HM Consul David C. Broom with Judge James A. Doerty.

Seattle Jewish Mafia 2001 - Conspiracy to Railroad, Harass and Defraud

Council House directors and their spouses suborned perjury in a conspiracy to railroad a journalist. Several superior and appellate court judges committed judicial misconduct to support their criminal acts with decisions since reversed by Washington Supreme Court. They railroaded a journalist to deny due process of law; forced rapid litigation; prevented careful consideration of facts and criticism; convicted him using contempt citations without a fair trial; used trumped-up charges; and, dragooned, compelled, subjugated, and persecuted him using coercion, threats, and imprisonment.

Richard R. Beresford, (lawyer) warned Council House directors that the journalist had a constitutional right to publish his newsletter, which contained allegations of federal, state, and municipal violations of law, and to "leave him alone". The author obtained a temporary restraining order and filed a harassment petition when the administrator collected and destroyed copies of the newsletter (05 Mar 01).

Judge Wartnik had the scheduled judge replaced with Judge James A. Doerty who summarily denied the author's harassment petition. He retained jurisdiction and unlawfully instructed the directors to file a counterclaim. He then retained jurisdiction. By that, Doerty committed gross judicial misconduct and Mitchell ignored cautionary legal admonition by knowingly admitting perjured declarations into evidence. In fact, he initiated a counterclaim in behalf of SJM. Doerty has since withheld transcripts and hundreds of other documents from that hearing to cover his tracks (20 Mar 01).

Craig S. Sternberg (lawyer) wrote to Lynn C. Wartnik and her husband Judge Wartnik to apprise them of a planned railroading and lobbied directors to support the counterclaim for which he had already approved funding. He told them that insurance would cover some or all of the legal fees (22 Mar 01).

At a hearing on the counterclaim, Doerty denied the author legal counsel, a discovery process, and a motion for continuance. He then granted an antiharassment order designed to address domestic disputes for prior restraint purposes with instant and permanent effect. By that, he caused eviction of the author from his home followed by loss of his possessions and euthanasia for his dog (19 Apr 01).

During the ensuing months, having committed judicial misconduct, perverted the course of justice, and violated constitutional and human rights, Doerty then issued a series of contempt orders effectively to silence investigative reporting. He misapplied law by granting a restraining order which deprived the journalist of his constitutional rights to free speech and liberty.

In a letter to Vicki Richman, a New York City journalist, Mitchell trapped himself several times by admitting that: "[Doerty] denied his [the journalist's] petition with prejudice and suggested that Mitchell should file a counter suit". By that, Mitchell confirmed the appellant's contentions that Doerty openly advised from the bench that Council House prosecute against constitutionally protected speech (19 Sep 01.)

Washington Supreme Court unanimously reversed rulings by Washington Superior Court (Doerty) and Washington Court of Appeals (Becker) that relate to Council House. Those findings vindicated the author of the newsletters and web sites (30 Mar 06).

Seattle Municipal Court dismissed the frivolous criminal charges filed by Seattle City Attorney Thomas A. Carr (21 Apr 06).

Alleged Conspirators - 2001

Donald G. Cohan (Director/Attorney-at-Law)
600 University St, #3300, Seattle, WA 98101

Victor J. DeLeon (Director/President)
1000 178 Place NE, Bellevue, WA 98008-3431

James A. Doerty (Superior Court Judge - Relegated to Juvenile Court)
218 10th Avenue East, Seattle, WA 98102-5720

Paul Malakoff (Director)
8221 SE 66 Street, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5202

Maureen L. Mitchell (Attorney-at-Law, Summit Law Group)
315 5th Ave S, #1000, Seattle, WA 98104

Stephen A. Mitchell (Director/Administrator)
218 Aloha Street #204, Seattle, WA 98109-3766

Mark T. Mullen (Director former Administrator)
16241 38th Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98155

Donald R. Silverman (Director former President)
3718 48th Ave NE, Seattle, WA 98105

Bradley K. Spear (Director/Attorney-at-Law)
PO Box 15714, Seattle, WA 98115-0714

Edward F. Stern (Director)
7781 Westwood Lane, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5542

Craig S. Sternberg (Attorney-at-Law, Sternberg Thomson Okrent & Scher)
8530 NE 26 Street, Bellevue, WA 98004-1602

Sheila Sternberg (Director former Co-President)
8530 NE 26 Street, Bellevue, WA 98004-1602

Anthony P. Wartnik (Superior Court Judge - Retired)
8811 SE 55 Place, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5102

Lynn C. Wartnik (Director former Co-President)
8811 SE 55 Place, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5102

Seattle Jewish Mafia 2002 - Conspiracy to Jail with Solitary Confinement

Council House directors again conspired with judges to place the author in solitary confinement without due process of law or legal counsel. Their unlawful acts caused several near-death experiences which resulted from arbitrary incarceration and solitary confinement involving isolation from all other prisoners for 23 hours each day and denial of contact with his lawyer and any other person except guards effectively as a political detainee. By that, Doerty (and by extension British Consul David Broom) contravened most of the articles in the United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights. The author had published reports about Council House elder abuse and other wrongdoing on a web site located in Holland.

Doerty jailed the author for almost four months (25 days in solitary confinement among murderers and rapists) using politically expedient contempt of court findings. He again disallowed a discovery process and benefit of counsel. Council House directors retroactively committed perjury and suborned more witnesses to cover up that judicial misconduct.

As with their decision on the 2001 findings, Washington Supreme Court unanimously reversed rulings by Washington Superior Court (Doerty) and Washington Court of Appeals (Becker) that relate to Council House. Those findings vindicated the author of the newsletters and web sites. (30 Mar 06).

Seattle Municipal Court dismissed the frivolous criminal charges filed by Seattle City Attorney Thomas A. Carr. (21 Apr 06)

Alleged Conspirators - 2002

David C. Broom (formerly HM Consul, Seattle)
Diplomatic Foxhole, FCO, King Charles Street, London SW1A 2AH, UK

Victor J. DeLeon (Director/President)
1000 178 Place NE, Bellevue, WA 98008-3431

James A. Doerty (Superior Court Judge - Relegated to Juvenile Court)
218 10th Avenue East, Seattle, WA 98102-5720

Michael C. Hayden (Superior Court Judge)
516 Third Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104-2381

Paul Malakoff (Director)
8221 SE 66 Street, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5202

Stephen A. Mitchell (Director/Administrator)
218 Aloha Street #204, Seattle, WA 98109-3766

Bradley K. Spear (Director/Attorney-at-Law)
PO Box 15714, Seattle, WA 98115-0714

Edward F. Stern (Director)
7781 Westwood Lane, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5542

Anthony P. Wartnik (Superior Court Judge - Retired)
8811 SE 55 Place, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5102

Lynn C. Wartnik (Director former Co-President)
8811 SE 55 Place, Mercer Island, WA 98040-5102

Reasonable people must ask when federal prosecutors will bring criminal charges against Council House directors, City of Seattle officials, and King County officials for committing multiple felonies, filing false and malicious charges, suborning perjury against an investigative reporter, jailing him on trumped up charges, and jointly and severally participating in a cover-up of at least three cases of alleged homicide by abuse.

Offering False Instrument for Filing or Record - RCW 40.16.030

Every person who shall knowingly procure or offer any false or forged instrument to be filed, registered, or recorded in any public office, which instrument, if genuine, might be filed, registered or recorded in such office under any law of this state or of the United States, is guilty of a class C felony and shall be punished by imprisonment in a state correctional facility for not more than five years, or by a fine of not more than five thousand dollars, or by both.

Making a False or Misleading Statement to a Public Servant - RCW 9A.76.175

A person who knowingly makes a false or misleading material statement to a public servant is guilty of a gross misdemeanor. Material statement means a written or oral statement reasonably likely to be relied upon by a public servant in the discharge of his or her official powers or duties. Every person convicted of a gross misdemeanor shall be punished by imprisonment in the county jail for a maximum term fixed by the court of not more than one year, or by a fine in an amount fixed by the court of not more than five thousand dollars, or by both such imprisonment and fine.

[*Rampant Judicial Delusion*] [*Cruel and Unusual Punishment*]

[*Supreme Court Decision #1*] [*Supreme Court Decision #2*]

[*Perjury and Subornation*][*Perjurers*] [*Officers and Directors*]

Conclusion - Anarchy and Seattle Government

The judiciary and government officials connected with Seattle Jewish Mafia have caused a state of lawlessness and disorder by failure to comply with law. David Broom took advantage of extant anarchy to manipulate the Seattle political and judicial system to the detriment of a British subject whom he had sworn to assist and protect.

Anarchy results from a failure of government to control lawlessness and disorder. It manifests in an absence of political authority and cohesive principles that predicate upon a common standard or purpose. In Washington state, that condition stems from Christine O. Gregoire, Governor (a former Attorney General) who has tentacles spreading through a multicultural society consisting

of special interest groups with Seattle Jewish Mafia (SJM) and University of Washington (UW) predominant among them.

SJM has consistently shown a pattern of racketeering (defined by the Civil Rights Act and RICO statute⁹) for at least ten years. A RICO pattern means two or more organized criminal acts which indicate ensuant activity. Those acts include conspiracy to commit crimes of coercion by wrongful use of force or fear. Recent activity at Seattle airport reinforces that pattern. [*The Mob*]

A faith-based initiative with similar principles to Al Quaeda, SJM has destroyed *Contra Cabal* web site three times in attempts to silence the author and to cover up elder abuse by Council House directors. By its attorneys, Thomas D. Adams (Bullivant Houser Bailey (BHB)) and Richard A. Du Bey (Short Cressman Burgess (SCaB)), SJM has tried to kill the messenger both literally and metaphorically. [*Kill the Messenger*] [*Mafia Perjurers*]

Since the journalist's release from jail, destruction of his web sites has formed part of SJM strategy. City of Seattle officials and police have colluded with SJM in unlawful activity to protect their political allies from prosecution. In their most recent attempts to kill the messenger, SJM closed down the web sites using denial-of-service attacks which "flooded" the site and made it unavailable to subscribers for more than a month. [Tell it to the Judge - Open Letter]

Although warned about violation of federal laws, they repeated the misconduct by changing the proxy to a foreign country. Reparation now exceeds the minimum amount set by Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) for financial damage. This allows FBI agents to proceed with a full investigation and indictment (if they have not joined SJM or come under the direction of the Consul!). [Tell it to the Judge - Reprise]

Since his election (2001), Seattle City Attorney Thomas A. Carr has willfully allowed mobsters to file false and misleading statements with police and other government authorities. Mafiosi owe allegiance only to each other and maintain omerta (oaths of silence). They dispense with those who break that oath with a swift "bullet behind the ear" (instant disposal without due process of law). These mobsters want the author back in jail to stop him writing this and other articles.

Kafka Wig fits Washington State Judges . . .

"There can be no doubt." said K., quite softly, for he was elated by the breathless attention of the meeting; in that stillness a subdued hum was audible which was more exciting than the wildest applause "there can be no doubt that behind all the actions of this court of justice, that is to say in my case, behind my arrest and today's interrogation, there is a great organization at work. An organization which not only employs corrupt warders, oafish inspectors, and examining magistrates of whom the best that can be said is that they recognize their own limitations, but also has at its disposal a judicial hierarchy of high, indeed of the highest rank, with an indispensable and numerous retinue of servants, clerks, police, and other assistants, perhaps even hangmen, I do not shrink from that word. And the significance of this great organization, gentlemen? It consists in this, that innocent

persons are accused of guilt, and senseless proceedings are put in motion against them, mostly without effect, it is true, as in my own case. But considering the senselessness of the whole, how is it possible for the higher ranks to prevent gross corruption in their agents? It is impossible. Even the highest judge in this organization cannot resist it." Franz Kafka, *The Trial*.

The extant anarchy becomes apparent from two statements: Judge Anthony P. Wartnik in consort with Doerty helped by Broom's dereliction had the journalist transferred to ultra-security solitary confinement. When questioned about this draconian measure by an investigator, Wartnik said: "He's where he should be [in solitary confinement]." City of Seattle lawyer Thomas Sean Sheehan wrote after the WA Supreme Court finding "you are a very disturbed person and more jail time would be good for you." His office filed trumped-up criminal charges (later dismissed) in an attempt to preempt the WA Supreme Court findings. [*Kill the Messenger*]

Murder may rank as the worst crime but that does not make murderers the worst criminals - some judges rank much lower. People living in Washington state cannot trust the justice system to operate in an unbiased way because judges have personal agendas that violate the foundation of the legal system. Civil complaints result with indefinite confinement in jail after denial of legal representation. Doerty's decisions predicated upon ex parte communication with both Judge Anthony P. Wartnik and British Consul David Broom. Doerty's bizarre behavior became a matter of international concern after his perversion of the legal process.

Alexis de Tocqueville (1805-1859) described American lawyers as a natural aristocracy - the intellectual basis for a profession based upon venerable precedents, formality, and predictability. At that time, judges stood apart from the majority and citizens respected and relied upon them to avoid potential tyranny unlike today's Washington judiciary. The public no longer shares de Tocqueville's view. The legal and judicial aristocracy has shed its independence for commerce, collective greed, and self-aggrandizement. The public can no longer trust them to observe the fair processes essential to maintaining the rule of law; consequently, it loses all respect for the process.

Nmesis

1. David Broom, *Seattle Times* (14 Jun 02)
2. Lord Acton (1834–1902), English historian. Letter, 3 April 1887, to Bishop Mandell Creighton. Published in *The Life and Letters of Mandell Creighton*, 1904.
3. Vienna Convention on Diplomatic Relations (1961)
4. Floyd & Barker, 12 Co. Rep. 23, 25, 77 Eng. Rep. 1305, 1307 (Star Chamber 1607).
5. Rick Anderson, *Seattle Weekly*.

Unreported Jail Deaths - <http://www.seattleweekly.com/2005-08-10/news/unreported-jail-deaths.php>

Dead-End Jail - <http://www.seattleweekly.com/2005-09-21/news/dead-end-jail.php>

A Jail Shakedown - <http://www.seattleweekly.com/2005-11-02/news/a-jail-shakedown.php>

Contagion in the Jail - <http://www.seattleweekly.com/2005-12-07/news/contagion-in-the-jail.php>

6. Stephen Hallmark, Cuba Solidarity Campaign. Letters, *Journalist* (December 2007).
7. Cooke and Michie eliminated criteria related to criminal incarceration and juvenile delinquency and statistically analyzed three factors of psychopathy. This conception of the psychopathic personality is better applicable outside forensic populations.
8. *Freelance*, *NUJ/LFB* (December 2007).
9. US Title 18, Part I, Chapter 96-Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations.
http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/html/uscode18/usc_sup_01_18_10_1_20_96.html

The people listed below received three prepublication notices containing an abstract of this article. The notices gave them an opportunity to respond to the charges and to mitigate dereliction by government officials. None of them responded which further demonstrates the lack of responsibility within HM Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO).

Personal information appears in an upcoming issue of *Contra Cabal*. Therefore, I give notice following the international codes of ethics agreed among journalists. Several of those codes, tested in international courts, have legal precedent. This prepublication notice gives featured individuals a chance to respond to charges affecting their ethical or moral character. People named incidentally receive this notice as a courtesy. For public accountability, I encourage featured individuals to respond in open exchange within ten days. I do not solicit personal opinions, therefore, any response should address matters of fact only. General denials will classify as evasive action or risk management.

UK

David Miliband MP, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs
Sir Peter Ricketts, Permanent Under-Secretary and Head of the Diplomatic Service
Gareth Thomas MP, West Harrow

US

Sir Nigel Sheinwald KCMG, British Ambassador to the USA
Julian Evans, British Consul General, San Francisco
Jacqui Graham, Vice Consul General, San Francisco

© Copyright 2007 by Paul Trummel
All Rights Reserved: 04 Dec 07/10:43 GMT
Edition: #830-03-00/08-0103-1446
Feedback: Webspinner@ContraCabal.org